$$
G_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
G_{n-1} & 0 \\
G_{n-1} & G_{n-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Also, $G_{1}^{\prime}$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}$ are triangular and $G_{2}^{\prime}$ may also be used to recursively define $G_{n}^{\prime}$ as follows:

$$
G_{n}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
G_{n-1}^{\prime} & G_{n-1}^{\prime} \\
G_{n-1}^{\prime} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are triangular and $G_{n}$ may derived in terms of $G_{n-1}$, by induction, $G_{n}$ is also triangular since it is formed with submatrices, $G_{n-1}$, along its diagonal with the all zero submatrix in one quadrant.

Proof for lemma 3 As mentioned previously, the coefficient matrices, $G_{n}$ and $G_{n}^{\prime}$, contain only 1 and 0 elements. Also, these matrices are triangular with diagonals consisting of all 1's. Hence:

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(G_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(G_{n}^{\prime}\right)=1
$$

It is desired to solve the equation:

$$
G^{*} \underline{R}=\underline{F}
$$

Where $G^{*}$ represents either $G_{n}$, or $G_{n}^{\prime}$. The solution to this equation is well known and may be expressed in terms of the cofactors of $G^{*}$ as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{R} & =G^{*-1} \underline{F} \\
& =\left[\operatorname{det}\left(G^{*}\right)\right]^{-1}\left[\operatorname{cofactor}\left(G^{*}\right)\right] \underline{F} \\
& =[1]\left[\operatorname{cofactor}\left(G^{*}\right)\right] \underline{F}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the cofactor matrices are formed with no division operations, the matrix, $\left[\operatorname{cofactor}\left(G^{*}\right)\right]$, contains only integers. Since the matrix, $G^{*}$, always has a determinant of 1 , the solution vector, $\underline{R}$, is formed as a vector-matrix multiplication of the integer matrix, $\left[\operatorname{cofactor}\left(G^{*}\right)\right]$, and the integer vector, $\underline{F}$. Hence the solution vector always contains integer components.

Proof for Theorem 1 From lemma 3, $\underline{R}$ has the property that each $r_{i} \in Z$. From lemma 1, the function, $f(x)=x(\bmod 2)$ forms a ring morphism between $\Re^{\prime}$ and $\Re$. Hence, the application of $f(x)$ to each component in the vector, $\underline{R}$, isomorphically maps $\underline{R}$ to $\underline{B}$.

Proof for Corollary 1 From lemma 2 it was proven that all $G_{n}$ and $G_{n}^{\prime}$ matrices are triangular. From definition 1 it was noted that all $g_{i i}=g_{i i}^{\prime}=1$. Hence the determinant of the coefficient matrices is 1 , guaranteeing a unique matrix inverse exists. Since $\underline{R}$ and $\underline{B}$ from theorem 1 are isomorphic and $\underline{R}$ is unique due to the existence of a unique inverse of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$, then $\underline{B}$ also is unique.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a+b) & =f(2 n+1+2 m+1) \\
& =[2(n+m+1)] \bmod 2 \\
& =0 \\
f(a) \oplus f(b) & =(2 n+1) \bmod 2 \oplus(2 m+1) \bmod 2 \\
& =1 \oplus 1 \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\therefore f(a+b)=f(a) \oplus f(b) & \\
\qquad f(a \times b) & =f[(2 n+1) \times(2 m+1)] \\
& =[2(2 n m+n+m)+1] \bmod 2 \\
& =1 \\
f(a) \cdot f(b) & =(2 n+1) \bmod 2 \cdot(2 m+1) \bmod 2 \\
& =1 \cdot 1 \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\therefore f(a \times b)=f(a) \cdot f(b)
$$

Case 3:
Let $a=2 n$ and $b=2 m+1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a+b) & =f(2 n+2 m+1) \\
& =[2(n+m)+1] \bmod 2 \\
& =1 \\
f(a) \oplus f(b) & =(2 n) \bmod 2 \oplus(2 m+1) \bmod 2 \\
& =0 \oplus 1 \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore f(a+b)=f(a) \oplus f(b)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a \times b) & =f[2 n \times(2 m+1)] \\
& =[2(2 n m+n)] \bmod 2 \\
& =0 \\
f(a) \cdot f(b) & =(2 n) \bmod 2 \cdot(2 m+1) \bmod 2 \\
& =0 \cdot 1 \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore f(a \times b)=f(a) \cdot f(b)$
Since equations 5 and 6 hold for all 3 cases, $f(x)=x \bmod 2$ forms a ring morphism between $\Re^{\prime}$ and $\Re$.

Proof for Lemma 2: The trivial matrix, $G_{1}=[1]$, is triangular. Also, from definition $1, G_{2}$ is also seen to be triangular. Higher ordered matrices, $G_{n}$, may be recursively defined using $G_{2}$ as a kernel since all functions, $g_{i}$, are AND functions or literal values themselves. The following definition holds:

## 5 Appendix

Proof for Lemma 1: To prove this lemma, we demonstrate that the following relationships exist:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(a+b)=f(a) \oplus f(b)  \tag{5}\\
& f(a \times b)=f(a) \cdot f(b) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

for the following three cases:
Case $1:(a, b) \in \emptyset$
Case $2:(a, b) \in I$
Case $3: a \in \emptyset, b \in I$
Case 1:
Let $a=2 n$ and $b=2 m$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a+b) & =f(2 n+2 m) \\
& =[2(n+m)] \bmod 2 \\
& =0 \\
f(a) \oplus f(b) & =(2 n) \bmod 2 \oplus(2 m) \bmod 2 \\
& =0 \oplus 0 \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore f(a+b)=f(a) \oplus f(b)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a \times b) & =f(2 n \times 2 m) \\
& =(4 n m) \bmod 2 \\
& =0 \\
f(a) \cdot f(b) & =(2 n) \bmod 2 \cdot(2 m) \bmod 2 \\
& =0 \cdot 0 \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore f(a \times b)=f(a) \cdot f(b)$
Case 2:
Let $a=2 n+1$ and $b=2 m+1$ :
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Solving this system in the real field yields the following solution vector:

$$
\underline{R^{T}}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Mapping this vector, from $\Re^{\prime}$ to $\Re$ using $f(x)$, we obtain:

$$
\underline{\tilde{R}^{T}}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Each element of the solution vector corresponds to a specific $r_{i}$ resulting in a realization of the Reed-Muller form as given in 1 . The resulting function is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=1 \oplus x_{1} \oplus x_{2} x_{1} \oplus x_{2} x_{0} \oplus x_{1} x_{0} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Currently, we have implemented an experimental version of this code using FORTRAN and C. It is apparent, however, that due to the well-defined nature of the $G_{n}$ and $G_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ matrices, it is not necessary to compute a linear equation solution for each synthesis. In fact, a more efficient method would consist of accessing a database of the inverses of $G_{n}$ or $G_{n}^{\prime}$ and simply performing a vector-matrix multiplication for each synthesis task.

The input to the experimental algorithm is the output vector of the function to be synthesized. However, it would be very easy to incorporate a Boolean expression parser, resulting in defining the input in terms of an equation or a truth table.

The output of our program is of the form of a a structural Hardware Description Language (HDL). By choosing this form for output, the corresponding circuit is easily verified and may be piped into any automated synthesis tool that supports structural HDL input.

## 4 Conclusions

A numerical technique for Reed-Muller circuit synthesis has been developed and presented. It has been shown that this technique is equivalent to determining the solution to a set of linear equations in the real field. An example of this technique was provided with a brief description of implementation issues.

Further investigations into numerical techniques for logic synthesis are ongoing. In particular, we are investigating the use of heuristics for the reduction of the synthesis algorithm complexity (both space and time) and the use of generalized linear transformation techniques in general.

This lemma establishes the fact that the solution of equation 3 is performed over the Boolean ring, $\Re^{\prime}$. This result along with the previous definitions and lemmas allow the following theorem to be stated and proved:

Theorem 1 Given any Boolean function, $f$, and a binary vector, $\underline{B}=\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, \ldots, b_{n}\right]^{T}$ such that $f=b_{1} g_{1} \oplus b_{2} g_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus b_{n} g_{n}$, then there exists a vector, $\underline{R}$ with each $r_{i} \in Z$, such that $G \underline{R}=F$ and $(\underline{R}) \bmod 2=\underline{B}$. Where $\underline{F}$ is the binary vector formed from the output column of the truth table of the function, $f$.

Corollary 1 Every Boolean function has a unique complement-free ring sum form of the Reed-Muller expansion.

This section has presented the mathematical justification for the synthesis technique. The following section will provide a numerical example and a discussion of implementation issues.

## 3 Example

Consider the Boolean function specified by the following truth table:

| $x_{2}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{0}$ | $f$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Figure 1: Truth Table Contents of the Function to be Synthesized
The resulting matrix equation is given as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \underline{R}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Consider the smallest possible $G$ matrix, denoted as $G_{2}$, where the subscript indicates the number of function inputs.

$$
G_{2}=\begin{aligned}
& g_{0} \\
& g_{1}
\end{aligned} g_{2} \quad g_{3}
$$

Where the constituent functions are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{0} & =1 \\
g_{1} & =x_{0} \\
g_{2} & =x_{1} \\
g_{3} & =x_{0} \cdot x_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Likewise, the matrix $G_{2}^{\prime}$ is defined as:

$$
G_{2}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
g_{0}^{\prime} & g_{1}^{\prime} & g_{2}^{\prime} & g_{3}^{\prime} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where the constituent functions are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{0}^{\prime} & =1 \\
g_{1}^{\prime} & =x_{0}^{\prime} \\
g_{2}^{\prime} & =x_{1}^{\prime} \\
g_{3}^{\prime} & =x_{0}^{\prime} \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2 The coefficient matrices, $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ are triangular matrices with all $g_{i i}=g_{i i}^{\prime}=1$.

Next, we will show that the solution vector, $\underline{R}$, always contains components that are members from the field of positive and negative integers, $Z$. Where $Z$ is defined as:

$$
Z=\{\ldots,-3,-2,-1,0,1,2,3, \ldots\}
$$

Lemma 3 The solution vector, $\underline{R}$, in the equation, $G \underline{R}=\underline{F}$, contains only integer components.

Next, the Reed-Muller form is presented with a 3 -variable example as motivation for the following mathematical results. The complement-free ring sum formulation of the canonical Reed-Muller form for a three-variable function may be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=r_{0} \oplus r_{1} \dot{x_{1}} \oplus r_{2} \dot{x_{2}} \oplus r_{3} \dot{x_{3}} \oplus r_{4} \dot{x_{1}} \dot{x_{2}} \oplus r_{5} \dot{x_{1}} \dot{x_{3}} \oplus r_{6} \dot{x_{2}} \dot{x_{3}} \oplus r_{7} \dot{x_{1}} \dot{x_{2}} \dot{x_{3}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the dotted variables represent function inputs that are either all complemented, or, none are complemented. The $r_{i}$ terms are Boolean constants that have value " 1 " or " 0 ". Equation 1 contains operations and elements from the Boolean ring, $\Re$ (the omission of a binary operator between two consecutive variables in 1 implies that the operation denoted by - occurs). Equation 1 is rewritten in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=r_{0} g_{0} \oplus r_{1} g_{1} \oplus r_{2} g_{2} \oplus r_{3} g_{3} \oplus r_{4} g_{4} \oplus r_{5} g_{5} \oplus r_{6} g_{6} \oplus r_{7} g_{7} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the $r_{i}$ are Boolean constants described above, and each $g_{i}$ is the AND (product) of a subset of the function's literals. Each particular realization of the complement-free ring sum of the Reed-Muller form is then simply a specified set of $r_{i}$ values that will be referred to as the vector, $\underline{R}$. The set of functions, $g_{i}$, will be referred to as the "constituent functions" of $f(x)$. It is convenient to rewrite equation 2 in vector matrix form as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \underline{R}=\underline{F} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the elements of the function vector, $\underline{F}$, and the constituent function matrix, $G$, are known (or are easily computed), and the elements of the $\underline{R}$ vector specify the complement-free ring sum formulation of the Reed-Muller canonical form. The column vectors formed from all possible outputs of the constituent functions, $g_{i}$, are concatenated to form the coefficient matrix, $G$, and the corresponding function outputs are concatenated to form the function vector, $\underline{F}$.

The two forms for the complement-free ring sum expression were given in equation 1. This implies that two different coefficient matrices exist for 3 , denoted by $G$ and $G^{\prime}$. The matrix, $G$, is used when all $\dot{x}_{i}=x_{i}$ and $G^{\prime}$ is used when all $\dot{x}_{i}=x_{i}^{\prime}$ (' indicates the application of the complement function to a Boolean variable). In the following, we define the coefficient matrix, $G$ formally.

Definition 1 The matrix, $G$, is a concatenation of the output column vectors of the constituent functions, $g_{i}$, with no inverted inputs.
including the specific solution vector, are provided. Also, implementation concerns are discussed including the Input/Output and efficiency issues. Finally, we a discuss the advantages and implementation issues of this technique. Problems related to complexity reduction and incorporation of the synthesis algorithm into existing development environments are mentioned. In section 4 we conclude the results of this research. The appendix contains the proofs of theorems and lemmas in this paper.

## 2 Mathematical Formulation

The development of the mathematical foundations of this technique rely upon the concepts of rings and morphisms.

The Boolean ring satisfies the all of the properties of a general ring along with the idempotence property [BLR62]. The familiar Boolean algebra is equivalent to the Boolean ring, $\Re$, defined as follows:

$$
\Re:\{0,1, \oplus, \cdot\}
$$

Where 0 and 1 denote the logic values of zero and one, the addition operator, $\oplus$, denotes the binary XOR operation, and the multiplicative operator, $\cdot$, denotes the binary AND function. Clearly, the set, $\Re$, forms a Boolean ring since all of the properties of a general ring are satisfied [VL75] along with the idempotence property.

Next, consider an alternative set, $\Re^{\prime}$. This set contains the following elements:

$$
\Re^{\prime}:\{\emptyset, I,+, \times\}
$$

Where:

$$
\emptyset \equiv\{0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 6, \ldots, \pm 2 j, \ldots\}
$$

and,

$$
I \equiv\{ \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \ldots, \pm(2 i+1), \ldots\}
$$

Thus, $\emptyset$ and $I$ are the sets of all even integers (including 0 ) and all odd integers (excluding 0 ), respectively. The two operators in the set, $\Re^{\prime}$, are the additive operator, + , and the multiplicative operator, $\times$. These operators perform real addition and real multiplication, respectively. $\Re^{\prime}$ also satisfies the properties of a Boolean ring.

The following lemma establishes the relationship between the two rings $\Re$ and $\Re^{\prime}$ (proofs to the theorems and lemmas in this paper are provided in the appendix):

Lemma 1 The function, $f(x)=x(\bmod 2)$, forms a ring morphism from $\Re^{\prime}$ to $\Re$.
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#### Abstract

A numerical computation based circuit synthesis technique is presented for the Reed-Muller canonical form. The synthesis methodology is reduced to the problem of finding a solution to linear system of equations in the real-field. The mathematical formulation for this technique is developed and it is shown that a unique solution exists. A synthesis example is presented along with a discussion on implementation issues. Finally, a discussion on the complexity of the technique is provided.


## 1 Introduction

As the complexity of VLSI circuitry increases, testability concerns tend to grow proportionally. The well-known properties of the Reed-Muller canonical form make it an attractive candidate for testable circuit implementations [SR72]. Previously, the automated synthesis of Reed-Muller logic circuits was accomplished via symbolic Boolean algebra manipulations [DM54] [MS70]. In this paper, we present an alternative approach based upon numerical techniques to solve the synthesis problem for the Reed-Muller form. Specifically, it is shown that the Reed-Muller synthesis methodology can be reduced to solving a system of linear equations over the real-number field.

By reducing the Reed-Muller logic circuit realization problem into a numerical calculation, we are able to take advantage of the vast amount of results available in the areas of numerical analysis and linear system theory (such as LINPACK [DBM78]). Numerical techniques using spectral information have been proposed for general logic circuit synthesis in the past [TN93] [CE77]. The approach presented here differs in that we formulate the problem as determining a solution of a general linear system rather than using spectral, or correlation, computations.

The organization of this paper is as follows. First, the mathematical foundations of this technique are developed and all of the necessary intermediate results are derived. Following the mathematical formulation, a synthesis example is given. The details of the synthesis,

