

Software Quality Engineering:

Testing, Quality Assurance, and Quantifiable Improvement

Jeff Tian, tian@engr.smu.edu
www.engr.smu.edu/~tian/SQEbook

Chapter 13. Defect Prevention & Process Improvement

- Defect prevention approaches
- Error blocking
- Error source removal
- Process improvement

QA Alternatives

- Defect and QA:
 - ▷ Defect: error/fault/failure.
 - ▷ Defect prevention/removal/containment.
 - ▷ Map to major QA activities

- Defect prevention (this chapter):
 - Error source removal & error blocking

- Defect removal: Inspection/testing/etc.

- Defect containment: Fault tolerance and failure containment (safety assurance).

Generic Ways for Defect Prevention

- Error blocking
 - ▷ Error: missing/incorrect actions
 - ▷ Direct intervention
 - ▷ Error blocked
 - ⇒ fault injections prevented
(or errors tolerated)
 - ▷ Rely on technology/tools/etc.

- Error source removal
 - ▷ Root cause analysis
 - ⇒ identify error sources
 - ▷ Removal through education/training/etc.

Defect Prevention: Why and How?

- Major factors in favor of defect prevention:
 - ▷ Super-linear defect cost \uparrow over time
 - early faults: chain-effect/propagation
 - difficulty to fix remote (early) faults
 - in-field problems: cost \uparrow significantly
 - ▷ Other QA techniques for later phases
 - even inspection after defect injection

- Basis for defect prevention:
Causal and risk analysis
 - ▷ Analyze pervasive defects
 - ▷ Cause identification and fixing
 - ▷ Risk analysis to focus/zoom-in

Defect Cause and Actions

- Types of causal analyses:
 - ▷ Logical (root cause) analysis by expert for individual defects and defect groups
 - ▷ Statistical (risk) analysis for large data sets with multiple attributes
 - Model: predictor variables \Rightarrow defects
 - # defects: often as response variable
 - ▷ Cause(s) identified via either variation

- Actions for identified causes:
 - ▷ Remedial actions for current product
 - ▷ Preventive actions for future products:
 - negate causes or pre-conditions

Common Causes/Preventive Actions

- Education/training to correct human misconceptions as error sources:
 - ▷ Product/domain knowledge,
 - ▷ Development methodology,
 - ▷ Development process, etc.
 - ▷ Act to remove error sources
 - ▷ Cause identification:
mostly through root case analysis.

- Formal methods, Chapter 15:
 - ▷ Formal specification: to eliminate imprecision in design/implementation.
(error source removal)
 - ▷ Formally verify fault absence.

Common Causes/Preventive Actions

- Technologies/tools/standards/etc.:
 - ▷ Based on empirical evidence
 - ▷ Proper selection and consistent usage or enforcement
 - ▷ More error blocking than error source removal
 - ▷ Cause identification: mostly statistical

- Process improvement:
 - ▷ Integration of many factors in processes
 - ▷ Based on empirical evidence or logic
 - ▷ Define/select/enforce
 - ▷ Helping both error blocking and error source removal
 - ▷ Cause identification: often implicit

Education and Training

- People: most important factor to quality
 - e.g., vs. impl. languages (Prechelt, 2000)

- Development methodology knowledge:
 - ▷ Solid CS and SE education
 - ▷ Methodology/process/tools/etc.

- Product/domain knowledge:
 - ▷ Industry/segment specific knowledge
 - ▷ Type of products: new vs. legacy etc.
 - e.g., legacy product characteristics
 - Table 13.1 (p.227)
 - ▷ General product environment, etc.

- Means of delivery: formal and informal education + on-the-job training.

Other Techniques

- Appropriate software technologies:
 - ▷ Formal methods: Chapter 15.
 - ▷ Cleanroom: formal verification + statistical testing
 - ▷ Other technologies: CBSE, COTS, etc.

- Appropriate standards/guidelines:
 - ▷ Mis-understanding/mis-communication↓
 - ▷ Empirical evidence for effectiveness
 - ▷ Appropriate scope and formality

- Effective methodologies:
 - ▷ As package technologies/std/tools/etc.
 - ▷ Empirical evidence
 - ▷ Match to the specific product domain

Tools for Error Blocking

- Programming language/environment tools:
 - ▷ Syntax-directed editor to match pairs.
 - ▷ Syntax checker/enforcer.
 - ▷ General tools for coding standards, etc.

- Other tools:
 - ▷ Design/code and version control
 - examples: CMVC, CVS, etc.
 - ▷ Tools for indiv. development activities:
 - testing tools, see Chapter 7
 - requirement solicitation tools,
 - design automation tools, etc.

- General tools or tool suites for certain methodologies, e.g., Rational Rose.

Process Improvement

- Integration of individual pieces for defect prevention \Rightarrow process improvement

- Selecting appropriate development processes:
 - ▷ Process characteristics and capability
 - ▷ Match to specific product environment
 - ▷ Consideration of culture/experience/etc.

- Process definition and customization
 - ▷ Adapt to specific project environment
 - ▷ e.g., IBM's PPA from Waterfall

- Process enforcement and ISO/9000:
 - ▷ "say what you do"
 - ▷ "do what you say"
 - ▷ "show me"

Process Maturity for Improvement

- SEI/CMM work
 - ▷ Five maturity levels: ad-hoc, repeatable, defined, managed, optimized
 - ▷ KPA (key practice areas) for each level
 - ▷ Expectation: maturity \uparrow \Rightarrow quality \uparrow
 - ▷ Focus on defect prevention
 - ▷ Recent development: CMMI, P-CMM, SA-CMM, etc.

- Other process maturity work
 - ▷ SPICE (Software Process Improvement and Capability dEtermination)
 - international effort
 - assessment, trial, and tech. transfer
 - ▷ BOOTSTRAP \in ESPRIT programme

TAME: Process/Quality Improvement

- QIP: Quality Improvement Paradigm
 - ▷ *understand* baseline
 - ▷ intro. process change and *assess* impact
 - ▷ *package* above for infusion

- GQM: goals/questions/metrics paradigm
 - ▷ goal-driven activities
 - ▷ questions related to goals
 - ▷ metrics to answer questions

- EF: experience factory
 - ▷ separation of concerns
 - ▷ EF separate from product organization
 - ▷ form a feedback/improvement loop

Summary

- Key advantages:
 - ▷ Significant savings if applicable:
 - avoid downstream problems
 - ▷ Direct affect important people factor
 - ▷ Promising tools, methodologies, etc.
 - ▷ Process improvement: long-lasting and wide-impact

- Key limitations:
 - ▷ Known causes of pervasive problems
 - ▷ Difficulties analyzing complex problems
 - ▷ Difficulties with changing environment
 - ▷ Hard to automate
 - ▷ Process quality \neq product quality

- Comparison to other QA: Chapter 17.